Black holes in an expanding universe and supersymmetry

Dietmar Klemm and Masato Nozawa
Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, and INFN,
Sezione di Milano, Via G. Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italia
(Dated: December 15, 2015)

This paper analyzes the supersymmetric solutions to five and six-dimensional minimal (un)gauged supergravities for which the bilinear Killing vector constructed from the Killing spinor is null. We focus on the spacetimes which admit an additional SO(1, 1) boost symmetry. Upon the toroidal dimensional reduction along the Killing vector corresponding to the boost, we show that the solution in the ungauged case describes a charged, nonextremal black hole in a Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) universe with an expansion driven by a massless scalar field. For the gauged case, the solution corresponds to a charged, nonextremal black hole embedded conformally into a Kantowski-Sachs universe. It turns out that these dimensional reductions break supersymmetry since the bilinear Killing vector and the Killing vector corresponding to the boost fail to commute. This represents a new mechanism of supersymmetry breaking that has not been considered in the literature before.

PACS numbers:

arXiv:1511.01949v2 [hep-th] 12 Dec 2015

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the last two decades, many developments of superstring theory have been triggered by supersymmetric solutions in supergravities. In particular, supersymmetric black holes played a key role for the first successful account for the microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy [1]. Recently a systematic classification of supersymmetric solutions has been developed and proved useful for obtaining supersymmetric black objects with various topologies (see e.g. [2–13] for an incomplete list). The supersymmetric solutions are divided into two categories, according to the causal character of the vector field constructed from the Killing spinor, i.e., timelike and null classes. Typically, the timelike class of solutions contains black holes, whereas the null family contains propagating waves. The timelike class of metrics in ungauged supergravities is specified by a set of harmonic/Poisson-type functions on a $(d-1)$-dimensional manifold with reduced holonomy over which the metric is fibered. It therefore follows that supersymmetric black holes belonging to the timelike class are time-independent with degenerate horizons and allow for a superposition principle, as inferred from the Majumdar-Papapetrou solution. This represents a situation in which gravitational and electromagnetic fields are in mechanical equilibrium.

More than twenty years ago, Kastor and Traschen discovered an interesting generalization of the Majumdar-Papapetrou solution in the Einstein-Maxwell-$\Lambda(>0)$ system [14]. The Kastor-Traschen solution is characterized by a harmonic function on $\mathbb{R}^3$ with an additional time-dependence and asymptotically tends to the de Sitter universe. When the harmonic function has a single monopole source at the center of $\mathbb{R}^3$, the metric describes a black hole with a bifurcate Killing horizon in the de Sitter universe, i.e., the lukewarm limit of the Schwarzschild-de Sitter black hole [15]. The superposition property of the Kastor-Traschen solution is reminiscent of supersymmetric solutions in the timelike class, although a positive cosmological constant is not compatible with supersymmetry. Nevertheless, the Kastor-Traschen solution admits a spinor obeying 1st-order differential equations in "fake" supergravity, in which the gauge coupling constant in gauged supergravity is analytically continued [16, 17]. The superposition property further allows to investigate analytically black hole collisions in a (contracting) universe and to test the validity of the cosmic censorship conjecture [18].

Later on, ref. [19] obtained a time-dependent and spatially inhomogeneous solution from the time-dependent intersecting M2/M2/M5/M5 branes, which reduces to $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times S^2$ for $r \to 0$, and approaches for $r \to \infty$ to the FLRW cosmology with the scale factor obeying $a(\tau) \propto \tau^{1/3}$. Maeda and one of the present authors verified that this metric indeed describes a black hole in the FLRW universe with regular horizons [20]. The solution was further generalized to the case with a Liouville-type scalar potential, for which the metric asymptotically tends to an FLRW universe with arbitrary power-law expansion [21, 22]. These solutions are very similar to the Kastor-Traschen solution since they are specified by some set of harmonic functions on a base space. Interestingly, the event horizon is generated by an asymptotic Killing vector and realizes the isolated horizon [23], when each harmonic has a point source at the origin. Hence, the area of the horizon fails to grow even though the outside region of the black hole is highly dynamical. Moreover, it was shown that these solutions are pseudo-supersymmetric in "fake" supergravity [24]. Using the general classification scheme of [25], further extensions to the case with a sum of exponential scalar potentials and to the case including rotation were analyzed in refs. [26, 27].

The cosmic expansion of the solution in ref. [19] is driven by a massless scalar field corresponding to a "flat gauging" in the context of gauged supergravity.

It might therefore be possible to embed these solutions into higher-dimensional supersymmetric spacetimes by the Kaluza-Klein mechanism, rather than embedding them into fake supergravity. As we commented, a naive Kaluza-Klein reduction does not work, since supersymmetric black holes are time-independent and extremal, whereas the solution in [19] is time-evolving and non-extremal. To fill this gap is one of the main aims of the present article.

We exhibit a class of supersymmetric solutions which can be identified as a black hole in an expanding universe upon dimensional reduction. Interestingly, the black hole is time-dependent and admits nondegenerate horizons, both of these properties counter to those for supersymmetric black holes in the timelike class. This is possible because our supersymmetric solutions belong to the null family. We discuss how an additional SO(1, 1) scaling property gives rise to a Killing vector for the dimensional reduction and how this Kaluza-Klein reduction breaks supersymmetry. This susy breaking mechanism is new, and may have applications in other contexts as well.

The remainder of our paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we show that the five-dimensional null BPS family in minimal (un)gauged supergravity admits solutions describing (after a KK reduction) a black hole in equilibrium in an expanding universe. In section III, we show how to obtain five-dimensional dynamical black holes from a supersymmetric solution in six-dimensional minimal ungauged supergravity. Section IV contains our conclusions. We employ the mostly plus metric signature throughout the article.

II. BLACK HOLE FROM FIVE DIMENSIONS

A. Ungauged case

The bosonic Lagrangian of five-dimensional ungauged minimal supergravity is given by [3]

$$\mathcal{L}_5^{(0)} = R\star 1 - 2F\wedge\star F - \frac{8}{3\sqrt{3}}F\wedge F\wedge A\,, \qquad (2.1)$$

where $F = \mathrm{d}A$ is a Maxwell field. In terms of a Dirac spinor ϵ, the Killing spinor equation reads

$$\hat{\nabla}_\mu\epsilon \equiv \left[\nabla_\mu + \frac{i}{4\sqrt{3}}\left(\gamma_\mu{}^{\nu\rho} - 4\delta_\mu{}^\nu\gamma^\rho\right)F_{\nu\rho}\right]\epsilon = 0\,. \quad (2.2)$$

Let us consider the case in which $V^\mu \equiv i\bar{\epsilon}\gamma^\mu\epsilon$ is a null vector. In the coordinate system $V = \partial/\partial v$, the metric and the gauge field are v-independent and the general supersymmetric solution in the null family is given by [3]

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -2e^+e^- + e^ie^i\,, \qquad A = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\tilde{A}_i\mathrm{d}x^i\,, \qquad (2.3)$$

where $i, j... = 1, 2, 3$ and the orthonormal frame is given by

$$e^+ = H^{-1}\mathrm{d}u\,, \quad e^- = \mathrm{d}v + \frac{\mathcal{F}}{2}\mathrm{d}u\,, \quad e^i = H(\mathrm{d}x^i + a^i\mathrm{d}u)\,.$$

In three-dimensional vector notation, the supersymmetric solutions are determined by the system

$$\nabla\times\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \nabla H\,, \qquad \partial_u\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \frac{1}{3}H^{-2}\nabla\times\left(H^3\mathbf{a}\right)\,, \qquad (2.4)$$
$$\nabla^2\mathcal{F} = 2H^2D_uW_{ii} + 2HW_{(ij)}W_{(ij)} + \frac{2}{3}HW_{[ij]}W_{[ij]}\,,$$

where $D_u \equiv \partial_u - \mathbf{a}\cdot\nabla$ and $W_{ij} \equiv D_uH\delta_{ij} - H\partial_ja_i$. The integrability condition of (2.4) leads to $\nabla^2H = 0$. The solution to the Killing spinor equation (2.2) is given by the constant spinor under the projection $\gamma^+\epsilon = 0$, viz, the solution preserves half of the supersymmetries.

Let us focus here on the following class of supersymmetric solutions

$$\mathbf{a} = 0\,, \qquad H = H(\mathbf{x})\,, \qquad \mathcal{F} = -\frac{4}{(hu)^2}U(\mathbf{x})\,. \quad (2.5)$$

With these restrictions, the metric is invariant under the SO(1, 1) boost action $u \to \lambda u$, $v \to v/\lambda$ [28]. Namely there exists an additional Killing vector $\xi = u\partial/\partial u - v\partial/\partial v$ corresponding to the scaling. By the following coordinate transformation $(u, v) \to (t, w)$:

$$u = \frac{2}{h}e^{-hw/2}\,, \qquad v = te^{hw/2}\,, \qquad (2.6)$$

where h is a constant, the scaling Killing vector is transformed into a coordinate vector, $\xi = -(2/h)\partial/\partial w$. It therefore follows that the metric (2.3) is independent of w and reads

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = H^{-1}\mathrm{d}w[2\mathrm{d}t + (ht + U)\mathrm{d}w] + H^2\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}^2\,, \qquad (2.7)$$

where H and U obey Laplace's equations $\nabla^2H = \nabla^2U = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$. One can then reduce the system down to four dimensions by the Kaluza-Klein ansatz

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = e^{-2\phi/\sqrt{3}}(\mathrm{d}w + 2A^{(1)})^2 + e^{\phi/\sqrt{3}}g_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu\mathrm{d}x^\nu\,, \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$\phi = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\ln\left(\frac{H}{ht+U}\right)\,, \qquad A^{(1)} = \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{2(ht+U)}\,, \quad (2.9)$$

and the 4-dimensional metric $\mathrm{d}s_4^2 = g_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu\mathrm{d}x^\nu$ reads

$$\mathrm{d}s_4^2 = -\Xi_4^{-1}\mathrm{d}t^2 + \Xi_4\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}^2\,, \qquad (2.10)$$

with $\Xi_4 \equiv [(ht + U)H^3]^{1/2}$. This recovers the solution obtained by the compactification of dynamically intersecting branes (with three equal charges) [19] and solves the four-dimensional field equations derived from the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_4^{(0)} = R - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\phi)^2 - e^{-\sqrt{3}\phi}F^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}F^{(1)\mu\nu} - e^{-\phi/\sqrt{3}}F^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}F^{(2)\mu\nu}\,, \qquad (2.11)$$

where $F^{(1,2)} = \mathrm{d}A^{(1,2)}$ and $A^{(2)} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\tilde{A}_i\mathrm{d}x^i$ descends from the five-dimensional gauge potential (2.3).

Working in spherical coordinates $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}^2 = \mathrm{d}r^2 + r^2(\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta \mathrm{d}\phi^2)$, let us consider the case in which only the monopole sources are nonvanishing as $H = 1 + Q/r$ and $U = Q/r$. Asymptotically for $r \to \infty$, the metric (2.10) then tends to an expanding FLRW universe $\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}\tau^2 + a^2(\tau)\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}^2$, where $a \propto \tau^{1/3}$ and $\tau \propto t^{3/4}$. As shown in [20], the metric (2.10) then describes a nonextremal black hole in an expanding FLRW universe for which the cosmic expansion is driven by the massless scalar field. Interestingly, the solution admits a black hole event horizon for which the area is constant even if the outside region of the black hole is highly dynamical. This is a realization of isolated horizons [23] and their areal radii are given by $R_\pm^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2}Q^2(\sqrt{(hQ)^2+4} \pm hQ)$ [20]. A similar solution was obtained in [28] by the same scaling method, but it fails to admit regular horizons.

It is worth emphasizing that the bilinear Killing field $V = \partial/\partial v = e^{-hw/2}\partial/\partial t$ and the Kaluza-Klein Killing field $\xi = u\partial/\partial u - v\partial/\partial v = -(2/h)\partial/\partial w$ do not commute,

$$[\xi, V] = V\,. \tag{2.12}$$

In other worlds, the Killing spinor is not invariant under the action of the Kaluza-Klein Killing vector. To see this explicitly, we present the solution to the Killing spinor equation (2.2) in the coordinate system (2.7) for the reader's convenience. Introducing the frame

$$\begin{aligned} e^0 &= \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{(ht+U)H}}\,, \quad e^i = H\mathrm{d}x^i\,, \\ e^4 &= \sqrt{\frac{ht+U}{H}}\left(\mathrm{d}w + \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{ht+U}\right)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

and taking the orientation to $\epsilon_{01234} > 0$ and $\gamma_{01234} = -i$, the solution to (2.2) is given by

$$\epsilon = [e^{hw}H(ht+U)]^{-1/4}\epsilon_0\,, \qquad \gamma_{04}\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0\,, \tag{2.14}$$

where ϵ_0 is a constant spinor. One sees immediately that $\mathscr{L}_\xi \epsilon \neq 0$. This means that the five-dimensional Killing spinor (2.14) does not give rise to a four-dimensional Killing spinor for the solution (2.10), i.e., the U(1) dimensional reduction (2.8) breaks supersymmetry.

One can uplift the BPS solution (2.3), (2.5) into eleven-dimensional supergravity, by simply adding a flat torus T^6. The resulting solution preserves 1/8 supersymmetry and describes the intersecting M5/M5/M5 branes (with three equal charges) with a plane wave [29]. After dimensional reduction to ten dimensional string frame and performing T-duality, one obtains the dynamically intersecting D2/D2/D4/D4 branes. This solution breaks supersymmetry by the same reasoning as (2.12). If this solution is embedded back into eleven dimensions, one obtains the dynamically intersecting M2/M2/M5/M5 branes. A comprehensive analysis of dynamically intersecting branes can be found in [19].

Note that the equations of motion derived from (2.11) are invariant under $F^{(1)} \to \tilde{F}^{(1)} = -e^{-\sqrt{3}\phi} \star_4 F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2)} \to \tilde{F}^{(2)} = -e^{\phi/\sqrt{3}} \star_4 F^{(2)}$ and $\phi \to \tilde{\phi} = -\phi$. Using these dualized quantities, one can uplift the four-dimensional solution (2.10) back to five dimensions by $\mathrm{d}s_5^2 = e^{-2\tilde{\phi}/\sqrt{3}}(\mathrm{d}w + 2\tilde{A}^{(1)})^2 + e^{\tilde{\phi}/\sqrt{3}}g_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu\mathrm{d}x^\nu$ and $\tilde{F}^{(1)} = \mathrm{d}\tilde{A}^{(1)}$. The dualized solution then reads [31]

$$\mathrm{d}s_5^2 = -H^{-2}\mathrm{d}t^2 + H\mathrm{d}s_{\rm GH}^2\,, \qquad A = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{H}\,, \tag{2.15}$$

where the base space $\mathrm{d}s_{\rm GH}^2 = h_{mn}\mathrm{d}x^m\mathrm{d}x^n$ is the time-dependent Gibbons-Hawking space [30],

$$\mathrm{d}s_{\rm GH}^2 = (ht+U)^{-1}(\mathrm{d}w + \chi)^2 + (ht+U)\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}^2\,, \tag{2.16}$$

with $\nabla \times \chi = \nabla U$ and $\nabla^2 U = 0$. The metric (2.15) is an exact solution to five-dimensional minimal supergravity (2.1) and represents a Kaluza-Klein charged black hole for $H = 1 + Q/r$ and $U = Q/r$ [31]. In spite of the striking similarity to the canonical form of the metric in the timelike class [3], the metric (2.15) fails to preserve any supersymmetries within the framework of minimal supergravity. This can be checked by computing the integrability condition $\det[\hat{\nabla}_\mu, \hat{\nabla}_\nu] = 0$ for the Killing spinor (2.2).

The metric (2.15) was originally found by Kanou et al. in ref. [31]. Recently, Ishihara, Kimura and Matsuno pointed out that the metric (2.15) with $H = 1 + Q/r$, $U = 0$ can be interpreted as a black string in the five-dimensional Kasner universe [32].

B. Gauged case

The bosonic Lagrangian of minimal gauged supergravity in five dimensions reads

$$\mathcal{L}_5^{(g)} = \mathcal{L}_5^{(0)} + 12g^2 \star 1\,, \tag{2.17}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_5^{(0)}$ is the ungauged Lagrangian (2.1) and $g\,(>0)$ denotes the gauge coupling constant. The general light-like supersymmetric solutions to this theory were classified in [4], and are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}s^2 &= -H^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\mathrm{d}u^2 + 2\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v) + H^2\left[(\mathrm{d}x^1 + a_1\mathrm{d}u)^2\right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{3\phi}(\mathrm{d}x^\alpha + e^{-3\phi}a_\alpha\mathrm{d}u)^2\right]\,, \\ A &= A_u\mathrm{d}u + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4g}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\phi\mathrm{d}x^\beta\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Here $x^1 = z$, $\alpha = 2, 3$, $x^2 = x$, $x^3 = y$ and $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = (i\sigma_2)_{\alpha\beta}$ is an antisymmetric tensor. The function $\phi(u, x^i)$ is determined by the equation

$$e^{2\phi}\partial_z^2 e^\phi + \Delta^{(2)}\phi = 0\,, \tag{2.19}$$

where $\Delta^{(2)} = \partial_\alpha\partial_\alpha$ is a flat Laplacian. Given a solution of (2.19), $H(u, x^i)$ and $A_u(u, x^i)$ are successively

obtained from

$$H = -\frac{1}{2g}\phi' \,, \tag{2.20}$$

$$[H^2 e^{2\phi}(e^{\phi}A_u)']' + \partial_\alpha(H^2\partial_\alpha A_u) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2g}H\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\dot{\phi}\partial_\beta H \,. \tag{2.21}$$

Dots and primes denote respectively the derivatives with respect to u and z. Then the functions $a_i(u, x^j)$ are determined by the system

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha(H^3 a_\beta) = -H^2 e^{2\phi}\partial_z(e^{\phi}A_u) \,, \tag{2.22}$$

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}[\partial_\alpha(H^3 a_1) - (H^3 a_\alpha)'] = H^2\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta A_u - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4g}H^2\partial_\alpha\dot{\phi} \,,$$

whose integrability condition is (2.21). Finally, the function $\mathcal{F}(u, x^i)$ follows from the uu-component of the Einstein equations derived from (2.17). The solution preserves 1/4 of the supersymmetries.

Since in the five-dimensional ungauged case, the metric (2.7) describes a wave on a black string, we would like to obtain a similar BPS solution in the gauged theory as well. To this end, we follow the construction in [33], and suppose ϕ to be separable,

$$\phi(u, x, y, z) = \phi_1(z) + \phi_2(x, y) \,. \tag{2.23}$$

Substituting this expression of ϕ into (2.19) we find that ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 have to satisfy the equations

$$\partial_z^2 e^{\phi_1} = \frac{k}{24g}e^{-2\phi_1} \,, \tag{2.24}$$

$$\Delta^{(2)}\phi_2 = -\frac{k}{24g}e^{3\phi_2} \,, \tag{2.25}$$

where k is a constant. (2.24) implies

$$e^{3\phi_1}(\phi_1')^2 = \mu e^{\phi_1} - \frac{k}{12g} \,, \tag{2.26}$$

where μ denotes another integration constant. As a particular solution of the Liouville equation (2.25), we choose

$$e^{3\phi_2} = 64g\Upsilon^{-2} \,, \tag{2.27}$$

where $\Upsilon(x, y) = 1 + k(x^2 + y^2)$. With these choices, the system (2.22) is satisfied for $A_u = a_1 = a_\alpha = 0$. If we introduce the new radial coordinate

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2\phi_1'(ge^{\phi_1})^{3/2}} \,, \tag{2.28}$$

the metric and gauge field (2.18) become

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \frac{1}{(g\rho)^2}\left[-f^{3/2}\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}}{2}\mathrm{d}u^2 + \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v\right) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\rho^2}{f^2} + g^{-2}\mathrm{d}\Sigma_k^2\right] ,$$

$$A = \frac{k}{g\sqrt{3}\Upsilon}(y\mathrm{d}x - x\mathrm{d}y) \,, \tag{2.29}$$

where we defined $f = 1 + g^2 k\rho^2/3$ and the constant μ has been eliminated by a rescaling of u, v. $\mathrm{d}\Sigma_k^2 = 4\Upsilon^{-2}(\mathrm{d}x^2 + \mathrm{d}y^2)$ is the line element of the unit constant curvature space with $k = 0, \pm 1$. (2.29) represents a wave on a magnetic string in AdS_5, with wave profile $\mathcal{F}$ determined by the uu-component of the Einstein equations, which in the present case boils down to

$$\Upsilon^2\Delta^{(2)}\mathcal{F} + \frac{8f^2 - 20f}{g^2\rho}\partial_\rho\mathcal{F} + \frac{4f^2}{g^2}\partial_\rho^2\mathcal{F} = 0 \,. \tag{2.30}$$

Similar to the ungauged case, we seek for a solution of the form $\mathcal{F} = -4(hu)^{-2}U(\rho)$, with h a constant. Then, (2.30) can be easily solved, with the result

$$U = C_1\frac{2 + g^2 k\rho^2}{f^{3/2}} + C_2 \,, \tag{2.31}$$

where $C_{1,2}$ denote integration constants. Notice that, in the limit $\rho \to 0$, the solution (2.29) asymptotes to (magnetic) AdS_5. If $U = 0$, the horizon is located at the zeroes of f, so one has a genuine black string in the hyperbolic case $k < 0$ (constructed in [34]), a naked singularity for $k > 0$ (found in [35]), and AdS_5 for $k = 0$.

The metric (2.29) is again invariant under the scaling $u \to \lambda u$, $v \to v/\lambda$, so we can follow the same procedure as in subsection II A, namely introduce the new coordinates t and w by (2.6), and then Kaluza-Klein reduce along the Killing direction $\partial/\partial w$, using the ansatz (2.8). This leads to the four-dimensional solution

$$\mathrm{d}s_4^2 = -\Xi_4^{-1}\mathrm{d}t^2 + \frac{\Xi_4 f^3}{4(g\rho)^6}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho^2}{f^2} + g^{-2}\mathrm{d}\Sigma_k^2\right) \,, \tag{2.32}$$

where $\Xi_4 \equiv 2\sqrt{2}(g\rho)^3 f^{-9/4}(ht + U)^{1/2}$. The dilaton and Kaluza-Klein gauge field are given by

$$e^{-2\phi/\sqrt{3}} = \frac{f^{3/2}}{2g^2\rho^2}(ht + U) \,, \quad A^{(1)} = \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{2(ht + U)} \,. \tag{2.33}$$

(2.32) and (2.33) solve the equations of motion derived from the four-dimensional Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_4^{(g)} = \mathcal{L}_4^{(0)} + 12g^2 e^{\phi/\sqrt{3}} \,, \tag{2.34}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_4^{(0)}$ was defined by (2.11). For the solution considered here, $A^{(2)}$ is given by (2.29). (2.34) represents (after dualization of e.g. $F^{(1)}$) the zero-axion truncation of the t^3 model of $N = 2$ supergravity with Fayet-Iliopoulos gauging, leading to the Liouville potential for ϕ.

Notice that U defined in (2.31) is still a harmonic function, but now on the curved base space

$$h_{mn}\mathrm{d}x^m\mathrm{d}x^n = \frac{f^3}{4(g\rho)^6}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho^2}{f^2} + g^{-2}\mathrm{d}\Sigma_k^2\right) . \tag{2.35}$$

Let us move to the physical discussion for the solution (2.32). Due to the freedom $t \to t + t_0$, one can choose $2C_1 + C_2 = 0$ in (2.31), for which $U(\rho = 0) = 0$. Since

$$\int_S e^{-\sqrt{3}\phi} \star F^{(1)} = \frac{k^2\Sigma_k C_1}{3g} \,, \quad \int_S F^{(2)} = \frac{k\Sigma_k}{\sqrt{3}g} \,, \tag{2.36}$$

the magnetic charge obeys a Dirac-type quantization condition and the electric charge is proportional to C_1 which we shall assume to be negative in what follows. In the asymptotic region $\rho \to 0$, the metric (2.32) reduces to

$$\mathrm{d}s_4^2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}(g\rho)^3}\left[-\mathrm{d}\tau^2 + a^2(\tau)\left(\mathrm{d}\rho^2 + g^{-2}\mathrm{d}\Sigma_k^2\right)\right] ,$$

where $\tau \propto t^{3/4}$ and $a(\tau) \propto (h\tau)^{1/3}$. The asymptotic geometry is thus conformal to a Kantowski-Sachs universe with power-law expansion for $h > 0$. Note that in a generic Kantowski-Sachs universe, the part proportional to $\mathrm{d}x^2 + \mathrm{d}y^2$ and $\mathrm{d}\rho^2$ can have different scale factors. Here they happen to be equal. The behavior of the scale factor $a(\tau) \propto (h\tau)^{1/3}$ is the same as that driven by a massless scalar in an FLRW universe. However, this does not mean that the potential plays no role, since the metric depends also on the coordinate ρ.

Since the five-dimensional $U = 0$ black string has a horizon for $k < 0$, we shall focus on this case in what follows. In terms of $r = 1/(g^2\rho)$, $f = 0$ has a root at $r = r_+ \equiv (\sqrt{3}g)^{-1}$. This is a naive horizon locus for the metric (2.32). As pointed out in [20], we have to take the $t \to \infty$ limit at the same time, since the event horizon is an infinite redshift surface. To see the geometry of this candidate horizon, let us take the near-horizon limit

$$t = \frac{\hat{t}}{\epsilon^{3/2}} , \qquad r - r_+ = \epsilon\hat{r} , \qquad \epsilon \to 0 . \tag{2.37}$$

The near-horizon metric is independent of ϵ and reads

$$\mathrm{d}s_{\rm NH}^2 = -\frac{2g\hat{r}^3}{3\sqrt{3}R^2}\mathrm{d}\hat{t}^2 + \frac{3R^2\mathrm{d}\hat{r}^2}{4\hat{r}^2} + R^2\mathrm{d}\Sigma_{k=-1}^2 , \tag{2.38}$$

where $(gR)^2 = 2^{-3/4}3^{-13/8}\left(12h\hat{t}(g\hat{r})^{3/2} - 2^{1/2}3^{1/4}C_1\right)^{1/2}$. As a consequence of the scaling limit (2.37), there appears an asymptotic Killing vector

$$K = \hat{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{r}} - \frac{3}{2}\hat{t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{t}} , \qquad \mathscr{L}_K g_{\rm NH} = 0 . \tag{2.39}$$

Changing coordinates from $(\hat{t}, \hat{r})$ to (T, R), where T is defined by

$$T = \log(\hat{r}) - \int \frac{144g^4R^3(C_1 + 54g^4R^4)}{f_1(R)}\mathrm{d}R , \tag{2.40}$$

with $f_1(R) \equiv (54g^4R^4)^2 + (108C_1g^2 - 36h^2)g^2R^4 + C_1^2$, the near-horizon metric (2.38) is cast into

$$\mathrm{d}s_{\rm NH}^2 = -\frac{f_1(R)}{48g^2h^2R^2}\mathrm{d}T^2 + \frac{12(36g^4R^4)^2}{f_1(R)}\mathrm{d}R^2 + R^2\mathrm{d}\Sigma_{k=-1}^2 . \tag{2.41}$$

In this coordinate system, the asymptotic Killing vector (2.39) becomes $K = \partial/\partial T$. Therefore, there exist Killing horizons $R = R_\pm$ at roots of $f_1(R) = 0$, i.e.,

$$R_\pm^2 = \frac{g^{-2}}{18}\left(\pm hg^{-1} + \sqrt{h^2g^{-2} - 6C_1}\right) . \tag{2.42}$$



FIG. 1: Penrose diagram of the black hole embedded in the conformal Kantowski-Sachs universe. There are regular horizons at $R = R_\pm$.

This makes it obvious that the horizon is nonextremal.

To lend a further credence to the above picture, we have traced numerically the radial null geodesic motions and arrived at the conformal diagram shown in Fig. 1. The causal nature is analogous to that in the ungauged solution (2.10) (see the conformal diagram in [20]), but the asymptotic structure is quite different. There appears a timelike naked singularity at $ht + U = 0$, but otherwise the metric behaves non-pathologically. As is clear from Fig. 1, the spacetime (2.32) admits a regular, nonextremal event horizon at $R = R_+(> R_-)$, which remains constant in time. The appearance of these isolated horizons is ascribed to the near-horizon asymptotic Killing vector (2.39).

Note finally that for $k = -1$, the scalar field ϕ and gauge fields $A_\mu^{(i)}\mathrm{d}x^\mu$ also admit a definite limit under (2.37), and the resulting system (2.38) solves the same field equations derived from (2.34) as the original solution. This means that the scaling limit (2.37) is indeed well-defined.

III. BLACK HOLE FROM SIX DIMENSIONS

Let us next consider minimal ungauged supergravity in six dimensions [7]. The equations of motions are given by

$$R_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\rho\sigma}G_\nu{}^{\rho\sigma} , \qquad \mathrm{d}G = 0 , \qquad G = -\star G , \tag{3.1}$$

where G is the three-form field strength. Since G is anti-self-dual, there exists no covariant action which gives rise to the above equations. The Killing spinor equation reads

$$\hat{\nabla}_\mu\epsilon \equiv \left(\nabla_\mu - \frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu\rho}\gamma^{\nu\rho}\right)\epsilon = 0 , \tag{3.2}$$

where ϵ is an anti-chiral spinor, $\gamma_7\epsilon = -\epsilon$, with $\gamma_7 = \gamma_{012345}$.

According to the general analysis given in [7], there appears only the null family, and the general supersymmetric metric can be written as $\mathrm{d}s^2 = -2e^+e^- + \delta_{IJ}e^Ie^J$ $(I = 1, ..., 4)$ with the orientation $\epsilon_{+-1234} > 0$, where

$$e^- = -\left(\mathrm{d}v + \omega - \frac{\mathcal{F}H}{2}e^+\right),$$
$$e^+ = -H^{-1}(\mathrm{d}u + \beta), \qquad e^I = H^{1/2}\hat{e}^I, \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$2G = \star_4(\mathcal{D}H + H\dot{\beta}) + (H^{-1}\mathcal{D}H + \dot{\beta}) \wedge e^+ \wedge e^- + [H\mathcal{G}^- - (\mathcal{D}\omega)^-] \wedge e^+ + H^{-1}e^- \wedge \mathcal{D}\beta\,. \tag{3.4}$$

Here the metric and the three-form field are v-independent. $h_{mn} = \delta_{IJ}\hat{e}^I{}_m\hat{e}^J{}_n$ is the base-space metric of an integrable almost hyper-Kähler manifold satisfying

$$\hat{\mathrm{d}}J^i = \partial_u(\beta \wedge J^i), \tag{3.5}$$

where $\hat{\mathrm{d}}$ is the exact differential on the base space and the J^i satisfy $J^i \cdot J^j = -\delta^{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}J^k$. β and ω are one-forms on the base space with a u-dependence obeying $\mathcal{D}\beta = \star_4\mathcal{D}\beta$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is a linear operator acting on p-form fields on the base space as $\mathcal{D} \equiv \hat{\mathrm{d}} - \beta \wedge \partial_u$. The supersymmetric system is determined by solving

$$\mathcal{D}[\star_4(\mathcal{D}H + H\dot{\beta})] - \mathcal{D}\beta \wedge \mathcal{G}^+ = 0\,, \tag{3.6}$$
$$\hat{\mathrm{d}}\mathcal{G} - \partial_u[\beta \wedge \mathcal{G} - \star_4(\mathcal{D}H + H\dot{\beta})] = 0\,,$$

and

$$-\star_4\,\mathcal{D}(\star_4 L) = \frac{1}{2}Hh^{mn}\partial_u^2(Hh_{mn}) + \frac{1}{4}\partial_u(Hh^{mn})\partial_u(Hh_{mn}) - 2\dot{\beta}_m L^m + \frac{1}{2}H^{-2}[(\mathcal{D}\omega)^- - H\mathcal{G}^-]^2 - \frac{1}{2}H^{-2}\left(\mathcal{D}\omega + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{D}\beta\right)^2, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}^+ + \mathcal{G}^-$, $\star_4\mathcal{G}^\pm = \pm\mathcal{G}^\pm$ and L are given by

$$\mathcal{G}^+ \equiv H^{-1}\left[(\mathcal{D}\omega)^+ + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{D}\beta\right],$$
$$\mathcal{G}^- \equiv \frac{1}{8}H\epsilon_{ijk}(J^i)^{pq}(\dot{J}^j)_{pq}J^k\,, \tag{3.8}$$
$$L \equiv \dot{\omega} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}\dot{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{F}\,,$$

where the dot denotes a differentiation with respect to u. Under the projection $\gamma^+\epsilon = 0$, the solution to the Killing spinor equation (3.2) is given by a constant spinor.

Let us consider the case where

$$\beta = 0\,, \quad \dot{H} = \dot{h}_{mn} = 0\,, \quad (\hat{\mathrm{d}}\omega)^+ = 0\,. \tag{3.9}$$

Eq. (3.6) gives $\Delta_h H = 0$, while (3.7) leads to $\Delta_h\mathcal{F} = 0$ provided we work in the gauge $\hat{\mathrm{d}} \star_4 \omega = 0$. As in the previous section, we choose

$$\omega = \frac{2}{hu}\varpi\,, \qquad \mathcal{F} = -\frac{4}{(hu)^2}U\,, \tag{3.10}$$

where U and ϖ are a u-independent scalar and one-form respectively, and consider the coordinate transformation (2.6). One sees that the solution is independent of w and the spacetime can be dimensionally reduced as $\mathrm{d}s_6^2 = e^{-\sqrt{3/2}\phi}(\mathrm{d}w + 2A^{(1)})^2 + e^{\phi/\sqrt{6}}\mathrm{d}s_5^2$, where

$$\phi = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\log\left(\frac{H}{ht+U}\right), \quad A^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}t + \varpi}{(ht+U)}\,. \tag{3.11}$$

The five-dimensional metric becomes the one found in [36, 37],

$$\mathrm{d}s_5^2 = -\Xi_5^{-2}(\mathrm{d}t + \varpi)^2 + \Xi_5 h_{mn}\mathrm{d}x^m\mathrm{d}x^n\,, \tag{3.12}$$

where $\Xi_5 \equiv [(ht+U)H^2]^{1/3}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{d}}\omega$ is an anti-self-dual two-form on the hyper-Kähler base space h_{mn}. H and U satisfy $\Delta_h H = \Delta_h U = 0$. The solution (3.12) solves the field equations derived from the action

$$\mathcal{L}_5 = R - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\phi)^2 - e^{-2\sqrt{2/3}\phi}F^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}F^{(1)\mu\nu} - e^{\sqrt{2/3}\phi}F^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}F^{(2)\mu\nu} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau}A^{(1)}_\mu F^{(2)}_{\nu\rho}F^{(2)}_{\sigma\tau}\,, \tag{3.13}$$

where $A^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}H^{-1}(\mathrm{d}t + \varpi)$.

Taking the Euclidean space $\mathrm{d}r^2 + r^2(\mathrm{d}\vartheta^2 + \cos^2\vartheta\mathrm{d}\phi_1^2 + \sin^2\vartheta\mathrm{d}\phi_2^2)$ as the hyper-Kähler base, and considering only the lowest-order harmonic contributions $H = 1 + Q/r^2$, $G = Q/r^2$, $\varpi = (j/r^2)(\cos^2\vartheta\mathrm{d}\phi_1 + \sin^2\vartheta\mathrm{d}\phi_2)$, this solution describes a five-dimensional rotating black hole in an expanding FLRW universe in five dimenisons [24]. This proves that the five-dimensional dynamical metric (3.12) admitting regular nonextremal horizons and an ergoregion is supersymmetric from a six-dimensional point of view. Note that, as in the previous section, the dimensional reduction along $\xi = -(2/h)\partial/\partial w$ breaks supersymmetry.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this letter, we pointed out that the null family of supersymmetric solutions in (un)gauged supergravities admits an interesting class of dynamical spacetimes that describe black holes in an expanding universe upon dimensional reduction. The black hole metrics are dynamical and nonextremal, both of which are in marked contrast to the properties of conventional supersymmetric black holes belonging to the timelike class. The most interesting aspect of our findings is that the simple toroidal reduction breaks supersymmetry. As far as the authors know, this provides a new supersymmetry-breaking mechanism following from the noncommutativity of the Kaluza-Klein Killing field and the bilinear Killing field constructed from the Killing spinor. Our results may be useful for generalizing the BPS attractors [38] into time-dependent settings.

For the four-dimensional example described in section II, we have considered only the solution without rotation.

One may expect that the rotating solution specified by three harmonic functions [27] could be obtained in a similar fashion. The only way to realize this is to set $\mathbf{a} = -2(hu)^{-1}H^{-3}\boldsymbol{\omega}$ in (2.5), where $\partial_u\boldsymbol{\omega} = 0$. From the first two equations in (2.4), $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ obeys $\nabla\times\boldsymbol{\omega} = H\nabla K - K\nabla H$ in terms of another harmonic function K. After replacing $U \to U - H^{-3}|\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2$ in (2.5), one finds that U satisfies

$$\nabla^2 U = h\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega} + \frac{2}{3H^3}(K\nabla H - H\nabla K)^2\,. \tag{4.1}$$

This implies that U obeys the Laplace equation iff $K \propto H$, recovering $\boldsymbol{\omega} = 0$. Therefore, the rotating solution in [27] is not obtained from an SO(1, 1) boost-invariant form. This situation is similar to the stationary counterpart, for which the Majumdar-Papapetrou solution can be embedded both into the timelike and null classes, whereas the IWP family [39, 40] can only be embedded into the timelike class.

One can nevertheless consider the monopole harmonics $H = 1 + Q_1/r$, $K = 1 + Q_2/r$ in the rotating case, for which one gets

$$U = k_1 + \frac{k_2}{r} + \frac{(Q_1 - Q_2)^2}{3r(r + Q_1)}\,, \quad \omega = (Q_1 - Q_2)\cos\theta \mathrm{d}\phi\,, \tag{4.2}$$

where $k_{1,2}$ are constants. This solution, however, always suffers from a Dirac-Misner string unless $Q_1 = Q_2$. Since the metric is t-dependent, this singularity cannot be removed by periodic identification of t. In conclusion, it remains to be seen if the four-dimensional rotating dynamical black holes of [27] can be embedded in some way into supersymmetric higher-dimensional spacetimes.

We have thus far discussed the Kaluza-Klein type dimensional reduction, which generates only a massless field in the ungauged case. One may thus wonder if an analogous higher-dimensional embedding works for generalized dimensional reductions. To illustrate this, let us consider the non-twisting fake-supersymmetric black holes in a five-dimensional FLRW universe obtained in [24] and see if they arise from a generalized dimensional reduction of six-dimensional supersymmetric solutions. The metric takes the form $\mathrm{d}s_5^2 = -\Xi_5^{-2}\mathrm{d}t^2 + \Xi h^{(\mathrm{HK})}_{mn}\mathrm{d}x^m\mathrm{d}x^n$ with $\Xi_5 \equiv [(ht + U)^n H^{3-n}]^{1/3}$, where the base is a time-independent hyper-Kähler manifold, and the gauge and scalar fields are given by

$$\phi = \sqrt{\frac{n(3-n)}{3}}\log\left(\frac{H}{ht+U}\right)\,,$$
$$A^{(1)} = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2(ht+U)}\mathrm{d}t\,, \qquad A^{(2)} = \frac{\sqrt{3-n}}{2H}\mathrm{d}t\,. \tag{4.3}$$

Here $\Delta_h H = \Delta_h U = 0$ as before. This solution solves the field equations derived from the action

$$\mathcal{L}_5 = R - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\phi)^2 - V(\phi) - e^{-\alpha\phi}F^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}F^{(1)\mu\nu} - e^{4\phi/3\alpha}F^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}F^{(2)\mu\nu}\,, \tag{4.4}$$

where $V = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)h^2 e^{\alpha\phi}$ and $\alpha = 2\sqrt{(3-n)/(3n)}$. For $n = 1$, the solution (3.11)–(3.12) with $\varpi = 0$ is recovered. A plausible dimensional reduction to generate this kind of scalar potential is the Scherk-Schwarz mechanism [41], exploiting a global symmetry and imposing twisted boundary conditions. Following the argument in ref. [42], one can embed the five-dimensional theory (4.4) with $n = 2$ into six-dimensional minimal *ungauged* supergravity coupled to a vector multiplet, whose bosonic action reads

$$\mathcal{L}_6 = R - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12}e^{-\sqrt{2}\Phi}G_{\mu\nu\rho}G^{\mu\nu\rho} - e^{-\Phi/\sqrt{2}}F^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}F^{(1)\mu\nu}\,. \tag{4.5}$$

The embedding of the solution (4.3) is achieved by the explicit z-dependent form

$$\mathrm{d}s_6^2 = e^{hz/2}\left(e^{\phi/(2\sqrt{6})}\mathrm{d}s_5^2 + e^{-\sqrt{3}\phi/(2\sqrt{2})}\mathrm{d}z^2\right)\,, \tag{4.6}$$
$$G = \star_h \mathrm{d}H\,, \quad F^{(1)} = \mathrm{d}A^{(1)}\,, \quad \Phi = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\phi - \frac{hz}{\sqrt{2}}\,.$$

The supersymmetric solutions for the system (4.5) were classified in [43]. One can check that the uplifted solution (4.6) fails to satisfy the integrability condition for the Killing spinor, e.g, $\det(F^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{\mu\nu}) = 0$ is not satisfied. Namely, (4.6) does not preserve any supersymmetry in the theory (4.5). It would be interesting to see if the dynamical solutions with scalar potential constructed in [24] (for $n \neq 1, 2$) and in [21, 26] can be embedded into BPS solutions in higher dimensions by the Scherk-Schwarz mechanism or by a sort of brane world reduction [44]. This would be useful for further elucidating the Kaluza-Klein network originating from M-theory.

Acknowledgements

The impetus of the present work stemmed from the talk by Masashi Kimura at the workshop "One Hundred Years of Strong Gravity" held at Instituto Superior Técnico (IST) in Lisbon. MN is thankful to CENTRA for their kind hospitality. This work is supported partly by JSPS and INFN.

[1] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, "Microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy," Phys. Lett. B **379**, 99 (1996) [hep-th/9601029].

[2] K. P. Tod, "All metrics admitting supercovariantly con-

stant spinors," Phys. Lett. B **121** (1983) 241.
[3] J. P. Gauntlett, J. B. Gutowski, C. M. Hull, S. Pakis and H. S. Reall, "All supersymmetric solutions of minimal supergravity in five- dimensions," Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) 4587 [hep-th/0209114].
[4] J. P. Gauntlett and J. B. Gutowski, "All supersymmetric solutions of minimal gauged supergravity in five dimensions," Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003) 105009 [Erratum-ibid. D **70** (2004) 089901] [hep-th/0304064].
[5] J. B. Gutowski and W. Sabra, "General supersymmetric solutions of five-dimensional supergravity," JHEP **0510** (2005) 039 [hep-th/0505185].
[6] J. Bellorín, P. Meessen and T. Ortín, "All the supersymmetric solutions of $N = 1$, $d = 5$ ungauged supergravity," JHEP **0701** (2007) 020 [hep-th/0610196].
[7] J. B. Gutowski, D. Martelli and H. S. Reall, "All supersymmetric solutions of minimal supergravity in six dimensions," Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) 5049 [hep-th/0306235].
[8] J. P. Gauntlett and S. Pakis, "The geometry of $D = 11$ Killing spinors," JHEP **0304** (2003) 039 [hep-th/0212008].
[9] J. P. Gauntlett, J. B. Gutowski and S. Pakis, "The geometry of $D = 11$ null Killing spinors," JHEP **0312** (2003) 049 [hep-th/0311112].
[10] M. M. Caldarelli and D. Klemm, "All supersymmetric solutions of $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $D = 4$ gauged supergravity," JHEP **0309** (2003) 019 [hep-th/0307022].
[11] J. Bellorín and T. Ortín, "All the supersymmetric configurations of $N = 4$, $d = 4$ supergravity," Nucl. Phys. B **726** (2005) 171 [hep-th/0506056].
[12] P. Meessen, T. Ortín and S. Vaulà, "All the timelike supersymmetric solutions of all ungauged $d = 4$ supergravities," JHEP **1011** (2010) 072 [arXiv:1006.0239 [hep-th]].
[13] K. i. Maeda and M. Nozawa, "Black hole solutions in string theory," Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **189**, 310 (2011) [arXiv:1104.1849 [hep-th]].
[14] D. Kastor and J. H. Traschen, "Cosmological multi - black hole solutions," Phys. Rev. D **47**, 5370 (1993) [hep-th/9212035].
[15] L. J. Romans, "Supersymmetric, cold and lukewarm black holes in cosmological Einstein-Maxwell theory," Nucl. Phys. B **383**, 395 (1992) [hep-th/9203018].
[16] D. Kastor and J. H. Traschen, "Particle production and positive energy theorems for charged black holes in de Sitter," Class. Quant. Grav. **13**, 2753 (1996) [gr-qc/9311025].
[17] L. A. J. London, "Arbitrary dimensional cosmological multi - black holes," Nucl. Phys. B **434**, 709 (1995).
[18] D. R. Brill, G. T. Horowitz, D. Kastor and J. H. Traschen, "Testing cosmic censorship with black hole collisions," Phys. Rev. D **49**, 840 (1994) [gr-qc/9307014].
[19] K. i. Maeda, N. Ohta and K. Uzawa, "Dynamics of intersecting brane systems -Classification and their applications-," JHEP **0906**, 051 (2009) [arXiv:0903.5483 [hep-th]].
[20] K. Maeda and M. Nozawa, "Black Hole in the Expanding Universe from Intersecting Branes," Phys. Rev. D **81**, 044017 (2010) [arXiv:0912.2811 [hep-th]].
[21] G. W. Gibbons and K. i. Maeda, "Black holes in an expanding universe," Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 131101 (2010) [arXiv:0912.2809 [gr-qc]].
[22] K. Maeda and M. Nozawa, "Black Hole in the Expanding Universe with Arbitrary Power-Law Expansion," Phys. Rev. D **81**, 124038 (2010) [arXiv:1003.2849 [gr-qc]].
[23] A. Ashtekar, C. Beetle, O. Dreyer, S. Fairhurst, B. Krishnan, J. Lewandowski and J. Wisniewski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3564 (2000).
[24] M. Nozawa and K. i. Maeda, "Cosmological rotating black holes in five-dimensional fake supergravity," Phys. Rev. D **83**, 024018 (2011) [arXiv:1009.3688 [hep-th]].
[25] P. Meessen and A. Palomo-Lozano, "Cosmological solutions from fake $N = 2$ EYM supergravity," JHEP **0905** (2009) 042 [arXiv:0902.4814 [hep-th]].
[26] S. Chimento and D. Klemm, "Black holes in an expanding universe from fake supergravity," JHEP **1304** (2013) 129 [arXiv:1212.5494 [hep-th]].
[27] S. Chimento and D. Klemm, "Rotating black holes in an expanding universe from fake supergravity," Class. Quant. Grav. **32** (2015) 4, 045006 [arXiv:1405.5343 [hep-th]].
[28] G. W. Gibbons and C. N. Pope, "Time-dependent multi-centre solutions from new metrics with holonomy $\mathrm{Sim}(n-2)$," Class. Quant. Grav. **25** (2008) 125015 [arXiv:0709.2440 [hep-th]].
[29] I. R. Klebanov and A. A. Tseytlin, "Intersecting M-branes as four-dimensional black holes," Nucl. Phys. B **475**, 179 (1996) [hep-th/9604166].
[30] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Lett. B **78**, 430 (1978).
[31] Y. Kanou, H. Ishihara, M. Kimura, K. Matsuno and T. Tatsuoka, "Charged black holes in a five-dimensional Kaluza-Klein universe," Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 8, 084004 (2014) [arXiv:1408.2956 [hep-th]].
[32] H. Ishihara, M. Kimura and K. Matsuno, "Charged black strings in a five-dimensional Kasner universe," arXiv:1511.01913 [hep-th].
[33] A. Bernamonti, M. M. Caldarelli, D. Klemm, R. Olea, C. Sieg and E. Zorzan, "Black strings in AdS_5," JHEP **0801** (2008) 061 [arXiv:0708.2402 [hep-th]].
[34] D. Klemm and W. A. Sabra, "Supersymmetry of black strings in $D = 5$ gauged supergravities," Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 024003 [hep-th/0001131].
[35] A. H. Chamseddine and W. A. Sabra, "Magnetic strings in five-dimensional gauged supergravity theories," Phys. Lett. B **477** (2000) 329 [hep-th/9911195].
[36] D. Klemm and W. A. Sabra, "General (anti-)de Sitter black holes in five dimensions," JHEP **0102** (2001) 031 [hep-th/0011016].
[37] K. Behrndt and M. Cvetič, "Time dependent backgrounds from supergravity with gauged noncompact R symmetry," Class. Quant. Grav. **20**, 4177 (2003) [hep-th/0303266].
[38] K. Hristov and A. Rota, "6d-5d-4d reduction of BPS attractors in flat gauged supergravities," Nucl. Phys. B **897**, 213 (2015) [arXiv:1410.5386 [hep-th]].
[39] Z. Perjes, "Solutions of the coupled Einstein Maxwell equations representing the fields of spinning sources," Phys. Rev. Lett. **27** (1971) 1668;
[40] W. Israel and G. A. Wilson, "A class of stationary electromagnetic vacuum fields," J. Math. Phys. **13**, 865 (1972).
[41] J. Scherk and J. H. Schwarz, "Spontaneous Breaking of Supersymmetry Through Dimensional Reduction," Phys. Lett. B **82**, 60 (1979).
[42] J. Kerimo, J. T. Liu, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, "Supergravities with Minkowski x sphere vacua," JHEP **0410**, 034

(2004) [hep-th/0402223].

[43] M. Cariglia and O. A. P. Mac Conamhna, "The general form of supersymmetric solutions of $N = (1, 0)$ U(1) and SU(2) gauged supergravities in six dimensions," Class. Quant. Grav. **21**, 3171 (2004) [hep-th/0402055].

[44] I. Y. Park, C. N. Pope and A. Sadrzadeh, "AdS brane world Kaluza-Klein reduction," Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002) 6237 [hep-th/0110238].